



Development Plan Document (DPD) Second Publication Stage Representation Form

Second Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD)

The District Council have produced a guidance note to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Castle House, Libraries in the District and <https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representation/>

Newark and Sherwood District Council is seeking your comments on the Second Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD ('Second Publication AADMDPD'). Comments received at this stage should be about whether the Plan is legally compliant, sound and whether it has met the duty to cooperate. All representations must be received by the Council by 5pm on Monday 6th November 2023.

This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your Representation(s) and further notification requests. (Please fill in a separate sheet (Part B) for each aspect or part of the Local Plan you wish to make representation on). Documents to support your representations (optional) should be referenced.

Privacy Notice

Apart from your comments below, the personal information you have provided will only be used by Newark & Sherwood District Council in accordance with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018 and will not be shared with any third party.

The basis under which the Council uses personal data for this purpose is to undertake a public task.

The information that you have provided will be kept in accordance with the Council's retention schedule, which can be found at: <https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/dataprotection/>

Please note the Council cannot accept anonymous responses. All representations received will be made available for public inspection and therefore cannot be treated as confidential. They will also be:

- Published in the public domain;
- Published on the Council's website;
- Shared with other organisations for the purpose of developing/adopting the Publication AADMDPD and forwarded to the Secretary of State for consideration;
- Made available to the Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to examine the Publication AADMDPD; and
- Used by the Inspector to contact you regarding the Examination of the Plan.

When making representations available on the Council's website, the Council will remove all telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures.

By submitting your Response Form/representation, you agree to your personal details being processed in accordance with these Data Protection Terms.

If you previously made a representation to the first Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (November 2022) Regulation 19 stage, we would like to know how you want this to be treated. All representations made at that stage will be forwarded on to the Inspector unless you wish to supersede it with a new representation to this Second Publication Allocations & Development Management. Please make this clear at the beginning of your Representation. If your previous representation is no longer required because of the proposed changes made to this Second Publication AADMDPD, please let us know that you are happy for your previous representation to be withdrawn.

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

In circumstances where individuals/groups share a similar view, it would be helpful to the Inspector to make a single representation, stating how many people the submission is representing and how the representation was authorised.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in column two.

Title	<input type="text" value="Mr"/>	<input type="text" value="Ms"/>
First Name	<input type="text" value="Mike"/>	<input type="text" value="Stephenie"/>
Last Name	<input type="text" value="Van den Berg"/>	<input type="text" value="Hawkins"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text" value="Project Director"/>	<input type="text" value="Planning Associate Director"/>
Organisation (where relevant)	<input type="text" value="Urban & Civic"/>	<input type="text" value="Stantec"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="10<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bank House"/>
Line 2	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="8 Cherry Street"/>
Line 3	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Birmingham"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="B2 5AL"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>
Email Address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>

Name or Organisation:	These representations are made on behalf of Urban & Civic, the master developer leading the delivery of the Sustainable Urban Extension at Newark South (now called Middlebeck).
-----------------------	--

PART B- Representation(s)

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representation relate?

Part of the Second Publication AADMDPD:	Mark if Relevant (X)	Specify number/part/document:
Second Amended AADMDPD Paragraph Number		Paragraph Number:
Second Amended AADMDPD Policy Number	X	Policy Number: Policy GRT4
Second Amended AADMDPD Policies Map Amendments	X	Part of Policy Map: Map 2 Newark South Proposals
Integrated Impact Assessment ¹		Paragraph Number:
Habitat Regulations Assessment		Paragraph Number:
Statement of Consultation		Paragraph Number:
Supporting Evidence Base	X	Document Name: Gypsy & Traveller Land Availability Assessment (GTLAA) Main Report September 2023 Page/Paragraph: Pages 27-30 (Appendix 1 Summary of Site Assessments)

4. Do you consider the DPD to be LEGALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes

No

5. Do you consider the DPD to comply with the Duty-to-Cooperate?

Yes

No

6. Do you consider the DPD to be SOUND?

Yes

No

*The considerations in relation to the Legal Compliance, Duty to Cooperate and the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the Newark & Sherwood Development Plan Document Representation Guidance Notes and in Paragraph 35 of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023).

¹ The Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) integrates Sustainability Appraisal (SA), Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) and Health Impact Assessment (HIA). Sustainability Appraisals (SA) are a requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) are required by European Directive EC/2001/42, which was transposed into UK law by the Environmental Assessment Regulations for Plans and Programmes (July 2004). The EqIA is a way of demonstrating the District Council is fulfilling the requirements of the Public Sector Equality Duty contained in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. HIA is a recognised process for considering the health impacts of plans and undertaking this type of assessment is widely seen as best practice.

7. The DPD is not sound because it is not:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (1) Positively Prepared | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) Justified | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) Effective | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) Consistent with national policy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally compliant, sound or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below.

If you wish to provide supplementary information to support your details, please ensure they are clearly referenced.

Stantec, on behalf of Urban & Civic previously made representations to the first Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management Development Plan Document (AADMDPD) Regulation 19 stage. Our representations made in relation to Policy GRT4 and Policy Map 2 (Newark South Proposals) (Ref: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic) and the supporting Gypsy & Traveller Land Availability Assessment (GTAA) (Ref: 054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic) still stand and should be forwarded to the Inspector. These representations to the Second Publication AADMDPD should be read alongside our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD.

These representations are made on behalf of Urban & Civic, the master developer leading the delivery of the Sustainable Urban Extension at Newark South (now called Middlebeck).

Newark South benefits from a strategic site allocation under Area Policy NAP 2A of the Amended Core Strategy adopted March 2019 (ACS) and also benefits from outline planning permission reference 14/01978/OUTM for up to 3,150 dwellings, up to 50ha employment land, associated community facilities and infrastructure including the Southern Link Road (SLR).

Development has commenced and works continue on site. The first phase of the SLR is substantially complete and open to traffic, dwellings are being delivered and the first residents moved onto site in spring 2018, and Middlebeck Primary School opened September 2021.

Our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD (Refs: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic & 054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic) demonstrate that the proposed allocation of the Former Belvoir Ironworks, Bowbridge Lane, Newark for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pitches (then Site NUA/GRT/13, now Site NUA/GRT/11), is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy, as it is not consistent with achieving sustainable development, is not supported by the evidence, and has the potential to undermine the delivery of Middlebeck, a strategic allocation in the ACS. Consequently, our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD (Refs: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic & 054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic) requested that the proposed allocation of the Former Belvoir Ironworks for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pitches be removed from the AADMDPD. That is, it be deleted from Policy GRT4 (Site Allocations) and removed from Policy Map 2 (Newark South Proposals).

Our requested amendments to Policy GRT/4 and Policy Map 2 (Newark South Proposals), to remove the proposed allocation of the Former Belvoir Ironworks for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pitches, has not been taken forward to the Second Publication AADMDPD. Therefore, our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD (Refs: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic &

054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic) still stand.

The remainder of this representation provides an update to the case as made in our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD (Refs: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic & 054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic).

Our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD took account of the supporting GTLAA site assessment. The GTLAA has been updated, with the site assessment for the Former Belvoir Ironworks noted as being updated in July 2023. However, any updates do not address Urban & Civic's concerns around services and facilities, and access and highways, as raised in our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD.

Our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD also refer to a reserved matters submission for 309 dwellings on the Flowserve Site (Ref: 22/01241/RMAM). Since the submission of our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD, this reserved matters submission has been withdrawn. This it is not, however, material to our case, as a further reserved matters submission has been made for 309 dwellings (Ref: 23/01755/RMAM).

On the basis of the above, and taking account of our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD (Refs: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic & 054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic), the position remains that the proposed allocation of the Former Belvoir Ironworks (Site NUA/GRT/11) for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pitches is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy, as it is not consistent with achieving sustainable development, is not supported by the evidence, and has the potential to undermine the delivery of Middlebeck, a strategic allocation in the ACS, and, as such, the AADMDPD is not sound.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

9. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As our representations to the first Publication AADMDPD (Refs: 054/GRT4 P MAP 2/T1T2T3T4/0138 on behalf of Urban and Civic & 054/GTAA Pages 29-33/T1T2T3T4/0139 on behalf of Urban and Civic), Urban & Civic respectfully request that proposed Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pitches at the Former Belvoir Ironworks are removed from the AADMDPD. As such, Urban & Civic respectfully request that Site NUA/GRT/11 Former Belvoir Ironworks, Bowbridge Lane, Newark is deleted from Policy GRT4 (Site Allocations) and removed from Policy Map 2 (Newark South Proposals).

The proposed allocation is not consistent with achieving sustainable development, is not supported by the evidence, and has the potential to undermine the delivery of Middlebeck, a strategic allocation in the ACS. As such, it is not positively prepared, nor consistent with national policy, and is neither justified nor effective. Its deletion from Policy GRT4, and associated removal from Policy Map 2 (Newark South Proposals), is therefore necessary to make Policy GRT4, and the Policies Map in respect of Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Allocations in the vicinity of Middlebeck, sound.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.

10. If your Representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral Examination.	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral Examination.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

11. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Urban & Civic respectfully request to participate in the hearing session(s) in order to fully present their case.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.

12. Please tick the relevant boxes below to receive notifications (via email) on the following events:

DPD submitted to the Secretary of State for Inspection

Examination in Public hearing sessions

Planning Inspector's recommendations for the DPD have been published.

DPD has been formally adopted.

Signature:

Date: 6/11/2023

Please return this form by 5pm on 6th November 2023 to one of the addresses below:

Email: planningpolicy@newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy & Infrastructure Business Unit
Newark & Sherwood District Council
Castle House
Great North Road
Newark
NG24 1BY

Information is available at:

<https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representation/>

Office Use Only

Date of Receipt:

Representation No: