

# NEWARK \& SHERWOOD PUBLICATION ALLOCATIONS \& DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT 

## SUMMARY OF NOT DULY MADE REPRESENTATIONS

September 2012

### 1.0 Summary of Not Duly Made Representations

1.1 As part of the representation process on the Publication Allocation \& Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD), 14 of the 253 representations received were considered to be not duly made.
1.2 5 of these were received after the closing date of $30^{\text {th }}$ July 2012 although the author of one considers it is duly made due to being posted before the deadline.
1.3 Another 9 of the representations relate to issues not covered within the DPD.
1.4 One representation was submitted as incomplete and not made so during the preparation of this submission.
1.5 All the representations and any subsequent correspondence are reproduced in the appendices.

Appendix A
Representations received out of time.

Appendix B
Representations not relating to the DPD.

Appendix C
Incomplete representations.

Appendix A
Representations received out of time.

Date: $8^{\text {th }}$ August 2012
Telephone: (01636) 650000

H McGregor
28 The Hemplands
Collingham
Newark
NG23 7PE
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref:
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/1
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

## Dear Sir or Madam

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above but have to tell you that it was not duly made due to being received after the closing date of 30th July.

I can however tell you that a number of representations identical to yours were received within the representation period and will therefore be considered as part of the on going process.

Yours Faithfully

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


28 The tlemplands
collingham
Newark


NoHs NG23 7PE $26 / 7 / 2012$.

Att. Matthew Norton
Planning Department
Newark \& Sherwood District Council
Kelham Hall
Newark
Notts NG23 5QX

26 July 2012

Dear Mr Norton,

## Allocations and Development Management DPD

Following a second presentation on Monday 23 July by Wildgoose
Construction, who wish to build houses on land between The Hemplands and Swinderby Road. My view that this is an inappropriate site for housing development for the reasons stated in our earlier letter dated February 2012.

In addition these fields have now been designated as a Main Open Area by Newark and Sherwood District Council.

As Collingham is obliged to build more homes, we would support the Council's preferred approach that Braemer Farm is a more suitable site. We also feel that plan will be more beneficial to the local community and create fewer traffic problems within the village.

Yours sincerely,


| Date: $8^{\text {th }}$ August 2012 | Telephone: (01636) 650000 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Fax: (01636) 655899 |
|  | E-mail: planning@nsdc.info |
| K Batty | Contact: Richard Exton |
| 38 High Street | Your Ref: |
| Colling: PADM/NDM/2 |  |

Collingham
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

## Dear Sir or Madam

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above but have to tell you that it was not duly made due to being received after the closing date of 30th July.

I can however tell you that a number of representations identical to yours were received within the representation period and will therefore be considered as part of the on going process.

Yours Faithfully

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


Att. Peter Wilkinson
Planning Department
Newark \& Sherwood District Council
Kelham Hall
Newark
Notts NG23 5QX

25 July 2012

Dear Mr Wilkinson,

## Allocations and Development Management DPD

I attended a second presentation on Monday 23 July by Wildgoose
Construction, who wish to build houses on land between The Hemplands and Swinderby Road. This presentation confirmed my view that this is an inappropriate site for housing development for the reasons stated in our earlier letter dated February 2012.

In addition these fields have now been designated as a Main Open Area by Newark and Sherwood District Council.

As Collingham is obliged to build more homes, our view is that the proposed development at Braemer Farm is a more suitable site. We also feel that plan will be more beneficial to the local community and create fewer traffic problems within the village.

Yours sincerely,

$$
\text { KBathy, } 88 \text { High SV. CallingLam }
$$

Date: $8^{\text {th }}$ August 2012

F Shackleton
90 High Street
Collingham

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref:
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/3
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

Dear Sir or Madam

Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.
I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above but have to tell you that it was not duly made due to being received after the closing date of 30th July.
I can however tell you that a number of representations identical to yours were received within the representation period and will therefore be considered as part of the on going process.

Yours Faithfully

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


## ven

-3 AUG 2012

Att. Peter Wilkinson
Planning Department
Newark \& Sherwood District Council
Kelham Hall
Newark
Nuts NG23 5QX

25 July 2012

Dear Mr Wilkinson,

## Allocations and Development Management DPD

I attended a second presentation on Monday 23 July by Wildgoose
Construction, who wish to build houses on land between The Hemplands and Swinderby Road. This presentation confirmed my view that this is an inappropriate site for housing development for the reasons stated in our earlier letter dated February 2012.

In addition these fields have now been designated as a Main Open Area by Newark and Sherwood District Council.

As Collingham is obliged to build more homes, our view is that the proposed development at Braemer Farm is a more suitable site. We also feel that plan will be more beneficial to the local community and create fewer traffic problems within the village.


File Ref: CP210. NSDC. Rep
Date: $8^{\text {th }}$ August 2012

Newark and Sherwood Council
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall
Kelham
Newark
Nottinghamshire
NG23 5QX
F.A.O. Mr Richard Exton

Dear Sirs,

## RE: Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework - Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document

I write in response to your letter dated $7^{\text {th }}$ August 2012, stating that our representation to the above consultation document was not duly made, being received after the deadline of $30^{\text {th }}$ July.

In this regard, I have checked our records and can confirm that the letter was dated and posted on $26^{\text {th }}$ July 2012. The post date on the envelope should confirm this. Further, in law, the date on which a letter is deposited in a post box is deemed to be the date which it is received by the addressee. Therefore, as the letter should have a post mark on or before $30^{\text {th }}$ July, the representation must be considered to have been received by the Council by the stipulated deadline.

I trust this demonstrates that we have made all reasonable effort to ensure the representation was duly made before the Council's deadline and I look forward to confirmation that the representation will be considered as part of the consultation exercise. If, however, the Council are still not satisfied that the representation was received in time, I would be grateful for a copy of the envelope showing the post date.

I trust this is in order, however, please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any further queries in this regard.

Yours faithfully,


Rebecca L. Jennings
Concept Town Planning Ltd.

Date: $7^{\text {th }}$ August 2012
SENT BY E-MAIL:
mail@concepttownplanning.com
Concept Town Planning Ltd.
Sambrook Hall
Noble Street
Wem
SY4 5DZ
FAO Rebecca Jennings

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref:
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/5
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

Dear Ms Jennings

Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \&
Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above but unfortunately have to tell you that it was not duly made due to being received after the closing date of 30th July.

Yours Faithfully


Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council



RECEIVED BY

- 2 AUG 2012

ADMIN SERVICES

Chartered Town Planners CONCEPT TOWN PLANNING LTD SAMBROOK HALL NOBLE STREET, WEN SYM 5DZ T. 01939233050 mail@concepttownplanning.com

Newark and Sherwood District Council
Kelham Hall
Newark
Notts
NG23 SQ

Dear Sirs,

Re: Local Development Framework Publication Allocations \& Development Management DPD

We write in response to the LPA's current consultation on the above document. Our comments, set out below, relate to Policy DM 8 - Development in the Open Countryside.

We welcome the recognition within this policy that tourist accommodation can be acceptable within the open countryside. In particular, we support the policy wording whereby tourist accommodation that is related and proportionate to existing tourist attractions will also be supported. However, we would also urge the LPA to incorporate support with the policy for tourist accommodation which forms an extension to existing sites, where such can be accommodated without detriment and is well related and proportionate to same.

The provision of a mix of tourist accommodation types is also vital and, therefore, support for the expansion of existing facilities should include existing holiday parks, cabin developments, caravan parks etc. where such can be accommodated without detriment.

We trust our comments will be taken into consideration as the LPA progresses this document and would request that we are kept up to date with its progress at the relevant time. Should the LPA have any queries in the meantime, however, then please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours faithfully,


Rebecca L. Jennings
Concept Town Planning Ltd.
Cc. Adrian Burn


Concept Town Planning Ltd. Registered in England and Wales. Company Number 6457770

## richard extol

| From： | planningpolicy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent： | 13 August 2012 13：04 |
| To： | richard exton |
| Subject： | FW：Allocations and Development Management Plan Document |
|  |  |
| Importance： | High |

Richard，

Here＇s the late Rep from Phil Scrafton that we discussed the other day．

Ta，

Matthew

Matthew Tub
Planner
Planning Policy
Newark \＆Sherwood District Council
01636655850
matthew．tubb＠nsdc．info

From：Phil Scrafton［mailto：Phil．Scrafton＠globelimited．co．uk］
Sent： 03 August 2012 08：35
To：planningpolicy
Subject：Allocations and Development Management Plan Document
Importance：High

I had sent－or intended to send－a simple representation on this but have realised it sat in my draft box for some reason．

I apologise for this and acknowledge that we are now outside of the $31^{\text {st }}$ July window．However，the representation was simply to continue to support the policies relating to the Showground along with the land immediately adjacent as drafted in NUA／SPA／1 and NUA／MU／1．

These have been consistently supported by the NDC Group and so there is nothing new to add．

Kind regards，
Phil

```
Phil Scrafton
Director
e: phil.scrafton@globelimited.co.uk
d:01522563517 m:07973 257 853
w: globelimited.co.uk blog: knowingplace.co.uk
a: Globe Consultants Limited, }26\mathrm{ Westgate, Lincoln, LN1 3BD
Latest news：Globe gains permission for an exciting series of changes and extensions to a sensitive property in the centre of historic Lincoln（read more）
```


## Appendix B

Representations not relating to the DPD.

Date: $8^{\text {th }}$ August 2012

Mr Derek Bradford
Rosebury House
Main Street
Edingley
NG22 BE

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref:
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/7
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

Dear Mr Bradford

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \&

 Development Management Development Plan Document.I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above which I understand to be objecting to the level of planned growth across the district. If this is the case, I am afraid it cannot be considered duly made. The level of planned growth for the district was determined by our Core Strategy which was adopted in March 2011 and therefore cannot be varied now. This representation period has only dealt with the sites to accommodate this growth and the policies to be used for determining planning applications on them.

If you can focus your comments on the particular sites or policies contained within the document we will be able to take them into account. If you wish to do this, please submit further comments to me at your earliest convenience.

Yours Sincerely

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council

## Development Plan Document (DPD) <br> NEWARK \& <br> SHERWOOD DISTRICT COUNCIL <br> Publication Stage Representation Form

## Publication Allocations \& Development Management DPD

A guidance note has been produced to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Kelham Hall, Libraries in the District and www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/adm

Please return to Newark \& Sherwood District Council by 5:15pm on Monday 30th July 2012
This form has two parts- Part A-Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your Representation(s) (Please fill in a separate sheet for each Representation).

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

1. Personal Details
2. Agents Details
*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below
but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2 .


$\square$

## PART B-Representation(s)

| Name or <br> Organisation: |  |
| :--- | :--- |

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representation relate?

| Paragraph: | Policy: $\checkmark$ | Proposals Map: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

4. Do you consider the DPD is/has been produced according to:
(1) Duty to Cooperate*
(2) Legally Compliant*
(3) Sound*

Yes $\square$
Yes $\triangle$
Yes $\square$

No
No
No $\square$

If you have entered No to $4(3)$, please continue to $Q 5$. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.
*The considerations in relation to the Duty to Cooperate, Legal Compliance and the being 'Sound'
are explained in the Newark \& Sherwood Development Plan Document Represents Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.
5. Do you consider the DPD to be unsound because it is not:
(1) Positively Prepared
(2) Justified
(3) Effective
(4) Consistent with national policy
6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD to have not met the various requirements set out at in 4 above i.e. Duty to Cooperate, Legally Compliant or Sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the same requirements, please also use this box to set out your comments.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I couciden the proposal to locate } \\
& \text { further concentrated housing to the } \\
& \text { west of Newark to be unsound. } \\
& \text { The weifority of housing occupants } \\
& \text { from this area when traveling to } \\
& \text { cont on vextpatae } \# \text { additional sheet. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Continued for box 6.
The tovidge is not only nainow, more suited to horse drawn traffic, tout Miso hae a gi band on the eastern side which causer lorries to reduce the rood to a single carriageway. the high volume of traffic already conses delays and this becomes a nightmare when any accident, minor incident on break down occurs. The recent improvements in the A617 and $\&$ ainworth bypass has encouraged more heavy traffic from the MI functions 20,29 and 30 heading in an easterly direction on the $A 17$ and $A 51$ and washing to avoid lincoln and Nottingham.
without major road improvements, including a new bridge over the Trent, the problem of. increasing traffic and the substantial addition of housing can only exacerbate the problem further.

A similar problem with inadequate road networks also exists in Southwell \& Farnsfied. troth identified for increased housing. Tratthe passing down the main Street in Faunsfield, which is a small scale rural village with man ce cottages built unto the back of the footpath, is already reduced to
a single lave by cont invous parking allowed down one side of the road.
the village may have a shopping infrastructure capable of supporting additional housing but it hos a rood networks when is already incapable of hounding the present volume of traffic. There are no public car parks and further traffic will only add to the present daily aggravation.
7. Please set out what change (s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

## A complete embargo should be placed on the construction of mads Loving until the rood network is uprated to protect the quality of life for the present in hat it. ants witt ion these communities. <br> $N \& S D C$ is mos a metropolitan Authority a and cannot create a lierandiy of expenditure on roads, drainage, schools etc to meet the requirements of the presecit population let alone an ever increasing one. <br> (Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting
Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not
normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original
Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination
8. If your Representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate af the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral Examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the ora Examination
$\boxtimes$
9. If you wish to participate af the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.
to explain why I consider Ne SDC hag adopted a urisprided unsound approach to housing development.


Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.
10. Please Indicate If you wish to be notified:

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for independent Examination

That the recommendations of the person appointed to carry out the $\boxtimes{ }^{\prime}$ ' independent Examination of the DPD have been published

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been adopted


Please return this form by 5:15pm on Monday $30^{\text {th }}$ July 2012 to one of the addresses below:

Email: planningpolicy@nsdc.info
Post: Planning Policy
Planning Services
Newark \& Sherwood District Council
Kelham Hall
Newark
Notts, NG23 5QX
Alternatively you can make your Representation by using the Council's Consultation Portal. Information is available at:
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/adm

## Office Use Only

Date of Receipt:


NDM 9 Plumb
To:
Subject:
malcom.p7umb@btinternet.com
Dear Mr Plumb
I am in receipt of representation on the above and can tell you that the term 'Mansfield Fringe' is used
in purely in a geographically descriptive context within the document. The document deals with the
allocation of sites for development, polices to deal with applications on these, and does not dea 1 with
any administrative boundary changes.
I note your interest in a Village Plan and would request that you contact Matthnew Norton when you
are ready to progress this.
Regards.
Richard Exton
Senior Planning officer
Planning Policy Business unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council
Te1: (01636) 655859
richard.exton@nsdc.info

| From: | Malcolm Plumb [malcolm.plumb@btinternet.com] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | 19 July 2012 11:37 |
| To: | planningpolicy |
| Subject: | Publication Allocation \& Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD) |

Dear Mr Norton,

Thank you for sending the above document to me on behalf of the Kings Clipstone Village Council.

Councillors were concerned with matters defined in page 73 "Mansfield Fringe Area". It is understood that future boundary proposals may involve ("New"\} Clipstone Parish becoming part of Mansfield. If this is so, we believe it should be made very clear that Kings Clipstone Village is NOT involved in this change. We associate ourselves entirely with the Sherwood Area.
Also, as we are a new Council we have not yet had an opportunity to put forward a Village Plan. However the Village Council is minded to do this in the future but only after consensus views have been obtained from residents and consultation/advice received from your Department. This will take time to accomplish but I would like to "flag" this up as important work to be done in the future.

I hope these comment will be taken into account.

Yours sincerely,

Malcolm Plumb.

Clerk to the Kings Clipstone Village Council.

## PADM/NDM/10 richard exton

| To: | Dawn George |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject: | RE: Newark and Sherwood Publiction Allocations \& Development Management Options |
|  | Report |

Dear Mrs George
You are quite correct that the Spatial Policies are an integral part of planning application considerations and this is because they have already been found sound through their own examination as part of the Core Strategy process. Having been found sound, the Core Strategy was adopted up to 2026 and consequently will not be reviewed until towards the end of this period. We are now dealing with a different document, and testing its soundness through the same process. Whilst I appreciate you may not agree with the soundness of Spatial Policies I am afraid there is no opportunity to review these now.

Regards

Richard Exton

From: Dawn George [mailto:dawngeorge@dawngeorge.plus.com]
Sent: 17 August 2012 12:47
To: richard exton
Subject: Re: Newark and Sherwood Publiction Allocations \& Development Management Options Report

## Dear Mr Exton

Further to the-mail you sent me. I am not clear why my representations on the above document are inadmissable as they are based on the 'unsoundness' of the Spatial Policies whatever the date they were adopted as part of 'The Core Strategy'. Surely, 'Soundness' is one of the 4 Criteria on which representations can be made? The Spatial Policies are an intergral part of planning application considerations and their 'soundness' (or fitness for purpose) is crucial.
Yours sincerely
Dawn George (Mrs)

On 09/08/2012 10:12, richard.exton@newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk wrote:

Visit the new Newark and Sherwood District Council website www.newarksherwooddc.gov.uk


## Note:

This message is for the named person's use only. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies of it and notify the sender. You must not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. NEWARK AND SHERWOOD DISTRICT COUNCIL and any of its subsidiaries each reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through its networks.

Date: $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2012
SENT BY E-MAIL
dawngeorge@dawngeorge.plus.com
Mrs Dawn George
Beech House

## Norwell Road

Newark
NG23 6AF

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref:
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/10
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

Dear Mrs George

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above and am sorry that you found difficulty in engaging with the process. I understand how it may appear daunting but the format followed is a necessary legal requirement at his stage in the development plan process.
As I understand you representation to relate the definition of sustainability contained within the Spatial Policies of our Core Strategy, I am afraid that it cannot be considered duly made at this stage. The Core Strategy was adopted in March 2011 and is not being reviewed at this stage. This representation period has only dealt with the allocation of sites and polices to deal with planning applications on them.

Yours Faithfully


Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


rene $9 / 8$

## Comment

Consulted
Email Address

| Address | Beech House <br> Norwell Road <br> Newark |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | NG23 6AF |
| Event Name | Publication Allocations \& Development Management |
|  | CPD |
| Comment by | Mrs Dawn George |
| Comment ID | ADM/Pub/49 |
| Response Date | $20 / 07 / 12$ 17:38 |
| Consultation Point | 1.3 Paragraph ( View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

Please read these Guidance Notes Prior to making a Representation.
Click next at the bottom of the page to move onto the Representation Form. Please however note that the Question numbers on the Form start at 3 in order to mirror the paper version.

## Introduction

These guidance notes have been produced to assist anyone who wishes to make a formal representation on the Allocations \& Development Management Publication DPD.
The Allocations \& Development Management Publication DPD is subject to a period of representation from Monday 18th June 2012 until no later than 5.15pm on Monday 30th July 2012. Representations received will be submitted to the Secretary of State together with the Publication DPD. The Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State will carry out a public examination before the Draft Allocations \& Development Management DPD is adopted.
The inspector will assess whether the publication DPD has been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, to legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound. Representations submitted should relate to the requirements of the Duty to Cooperate, legal compliance or the ?Tests of Soundness'.

1) If you are seeking to make representations on the way in which Newark \& Sherwood District Council has prepared the Publication DPD, it is likely your comments or objections will relate to a matter of legal compliance.
2) If it is the actual content on which you wish to comment or object to, it is likely it will relate to whether the Publication DPD is justified, effective or consistent with national policy, ie. sound.
Please note that all respondents must complete their personal details as it is not possible for representations to be considered anonymously. Respondents should also note that representations are not confidential and

- Positively prepared - the plan should be prepared based on strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.
- Justified. The plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against reasonable alternatives. These alternatives should be realistic and subject to sustainability appraisal. The DPD should show how the policies and proposals help to ensure that the social, environmental, economic and resource use objectives of sustainability will be achieved.
The plan should be founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
- Evidence of participation of the local community and others having an interest in the area.
- Research/fact finding the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts

Effective. The plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities, such as:

- Sound infrastructure delivery planning.
- Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery.
- Delivery partners who are signed up to it.
- Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities.

The DPD should also be flexible and able to be monitored. The DPD should indicate who is to be responsible for making sure that the policies and proposals happen and when they will happen. The plan should be flexible to deal with changing circumstances, which may involve minor changes to respond to the outcome of the monitoring process or more significant changes to respond to problems such as lack of funding for major infrastructure proposals. Although it is important that policies are flexible, the DPD should make clear that major changes may require a formal review including public consultation.
Any measures which the LPA has included to make sure that targets are met should be clearly linked to an Annual Monitoring Report. This report is produced each year by the local authority and will show whether the DPD needs amendment.

Consistent with National Policy - the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the framework The DPD should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, LPAs must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach. Conversely, you may feel the LPA should include a policy or policies which would depart from national or regional policy to some degree in order to meet a clearly identified and fully justified local need, but they have not done so. In this instance it will be important for you to say in your representations what the local circumstances are that justify a different policy approach to that in national or regional policy and support your assertion with evidence. If you think the content of a DPD is not sound because it does not include a policy where it should do, you should go through the following steps before making representations: Is the issue with which you are concerned already covered specifically by any national planning policy or in the Regional Spatial Strategy? If so it does not need to be included.
Is what you are concerned with covered by any other policies in the DPD on which you are seeking to make representations or in any other DPD in the LPA's Local Development Framework (LDF). There is no need for repetition between documents in the LDF.

If the policy is not covered elsewhere, in what way is the DPD unsound without the policy?
If the DPD is unsound without the policy, what should the policy say?

## General Advice

## General Advice

Representations are only valid if your name and address are supplied. Agents should please state the full name or organisation of who they are representing.
Please clarify which Allocation, Policy or paragraph, you are commenting on.
Any objection to the DPD being unsound, needs to be backed up with reasons why and indicate what needs to be done to make it sound. Please use a separate response form for each element of the document that
that they will be published on the Newark \& Sherwood web-site and copies will be placed at appropriate venues across the District for public inspection.

## Legal Compliance

## Legal compliance

The Inspector will first check that the DPD meets the legal requirements under section 20(5)(a) of the 2004 Act before moving on to test for soundness.
You should consider the following before making a representation on legal compliance:
The Allocations \& Development Management DPD should be within the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) and the key stages should have been followed. The LDS is effectively a programme of work prepared by the Council, setting out the documents it proposes to produce over a set period. It will set out the key stages in the production of any documents the Council propose to bring forward for independent examination. If the Allocations and Development Management DPD is not in the current LDS it should not have been published for representations. The LDS should be on the Council's website and available at their main offices.

The process of community involvement for the DPD should be in general accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). The Statement of Community Involvement (SCl) is a document which sets out the Council's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of Local Development Documents for the Local Development Framework (LDF) and the consideration of planning applications.

The document should comply with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). On publication, the Council must publish the documents prescribed in the regulations, and make them available at their principal offices and on their website. The Council must also place local advertisements and notify the DPD bodies (as set out in the regulations) and any persons who have requested to be notified.

The Council is required to publish a Sustainability Appraisal Report when they publish a DPD. This should identify the process by which the Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out, and the baseline information used to inform the process and the outcomes of that process. Sustainability Appraisal is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social, environmental, and economic factors.
The Allocations \& Development Management DPD should have regard to national policy and conform generally to the Regional Plan. Regional Strategies remain part of the development plan until they are abolished by order using powers taken in Localism Act. It is the governments clear policy intention to revoke the regional strategies outside of London, subject to the outcome of that are currently being undertaken.
The DPD must have regard to any Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) for its area (i.e. Newark \& Sherwood's Community Plan and the Nottinghamshire Sustainable Community Strategy).

## Duty to Cooperate

## Duty to Cooperate

The Localism Act and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) place a duty on local planning authorities and other bodies to cooperate with each other to address strategic issues relevant to their areas. The duty requires ongoing constructive and active engagement on the preparation of development plan documents and other activities relating to the sustainable development and use of land, in particular in connection with strategic infrastructure. The way in which we have addressed this requirement is set out in the Councils Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Cooperate, available to view on our webiste

## Soundness

## Soundness

A local planning authority should submit a plan for examination which it considers is ?sound'- namely that it is;
at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.
6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD to have not met the various requirements set out at in $4(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$ above i.e. Duty to Cooperate, Legally Compliant or Sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the same requirements, please also use this box to set out your comments.

5 (4) The DPD is at variance with 'The NPPF' - section 1 - paragraphs 5 (highlighted area UN resolution $42 / 187$ on sustainability), 15 and 19 4a) It is extremely difficult to complete the complex documents provided on line Sending in comments via printed media is an option but involves sending in multiple doucments where comments are made to several sections. These 2 factors act to discourage consultees from involvement, and hence work to discourage them from taking part in the democractic process

## Proposed Changes

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to supportjustify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.
7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Newark and Sherwood should revisit the District Council's definition of sustainability as set out in its Spatial Policies. It is extremely difficult for lay people to make constructive suggestions for change without the experience that professionals have

## Examination Participation

If your Representation is seeking a change, do No you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination?

Future Notification

Please indicate if you wish to be notified:
That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for indpendent Examination That the recommendations of the person appointed to carry out the independent Examination of the DPD have been published That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been adopted
you wish to comment on and for each test of soundness or comment that you wish to make. Further response forms can be obtained from the Council's website.
Representations can only relate to the Publication Allocations \& Development Management DPD.

## Future Notification

## Request for Future Notification

Representations may be accompanied by a request to be notified at a specific address of any of the following: That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for independent examination.
The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the DPD.
The adoption of the Allocations \& Development Management DPD.

## Area of Representation

3. To which part of the DPD does this 1.3

Representation relate? (Please state the relevant
Paragraph, Policy or part of the Proposals Map)

## Duty to Cooperate

The considerations in relation to the Duty to Cooperate are explained in the Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.

4a. Do you consider the DPD has been produced No according to the Duty to Cooperate?

## Legal Compliance

The considerations in relation to Legal Compliance are explained in the Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.

## 4b. Do you consider that the DPD is Legally <br> Yes <br> Compliant?

## Soundness

The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.

4c. Do you consider that the DPD is 'Sound'? (If No you enter no please continue to Question 5 , in all other circumstances please go to Question 6).

Tests of Soundness
5. Do you consider the DPD to be unsound
(2) Justified because it is not
(4) Consistent with national policy

## Representation Statement

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations

| Date: $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2012 | Telephone: (01636) 655859 <br> E-mail: planningpolicy@nsdc.info <br> Your Ref: |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mrs JP Thompson | Our Ref: PADM11.T <br> www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk |
| 6 Greaves Close | Kelham Hall |
| Newark | pelham |
| NEw ark |  |

Dear Mrs Thompson

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above and am sorry that you found difficulty in engaging with the process. I understand how it may appear daunting but the format followed is a necessary legal requirement at this stage in the development plan process.

The land to which you refer was allocated within our Core Strategy under Area Policy NAP 2B Land East of Newark and is therefore not being considered now. I think I can allay your fears by telling you that the northern part of this site, closest to dwellings off Beacon Hill, is identified as a Country Park and therefore would not be suitable for built development.

Yours Sincerely

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


NEWARK \&
SHERWOOD
DISTRICT COUNCIL

## Development Plan Document (DPD)

 Publication Stage Representation Form
## Publication Allocations \& Development Management DPD

A guidance note has been produced to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Kelham Hall, Libraries in the District and www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/adm

Please return to Newark \& Sherwood District Council by 5:15pm on Monday 30th July 2012
This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B-Your Representation(s) (Please fill in a separate sheet for each Representation).


1. Personal Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2 .


## PART B- Representation(s)

| Name or Organisation: | N/A |
| :--- | :--- |

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representation relate?

| Paragraph: $N / K$ | Policy: | Proposals Map: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

4. Do you consider the DPD is/has been produced according to:


If you have entered No to 4(3), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.
*The considerations in relation to the Duty to Cooperate, Legal Compliance and the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the Newark \& Sherwood Development Plan Document Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.
5. Do you consider the DPD to be unsound because it is not:
(1) Positively Prepared
(2) Justified
(3) Effective
(4) Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD to have not met the various requirements set out at in 4 above i.e. Duty to Cooperate, Legally Compliant or Sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the same requirements, please also use this box to set out your comments.
7. Fiease set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.


Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.
8. If your Representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral Yes, I wish to participate at the oral Examination Examination
$\square$
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.


Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.
10. Please indicate if you wish to be notified:

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for independent Examination

That the recommendations of the person appointed to carry out the independent Examination of the DPD have been published

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been adopted

| Signature: J.P. Thompa | Date: $12-07-12$ |
| :--- | :--- |

```
Please return this form by 5:15pm on Monday 30 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ th }}\mathrm{ July 2012 to one of the addresses
below:
Email: planningpolicy@nsdc.info
Post: Planning Policy
    Planning Services
    Newark & Sherwood District Council
    Kelham Hall
    Newark
    Notts, NG23 5QX
```

Alternatively you can make your Representation by using the Council's Consultation Portal. Information is available at:
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/adm

## Office Use Only

Date of Receipt:
Representation No:

$$
21 \text { /Not Duly Made } 12 \text { DADM/nom/12 }
$$

From: pgafney06@aol.com
Sent: 05 July 2012 16:39
To: planningpolicy; mickbaker038@btinternet.com
Subject: Sustainability Appraisal of the DPD
We question your assumption that Farndon Ponds are part of NSDC.
We would advise you that they are solely owned and managed by Farndon Parish Council, with no input from NSDC.

Can you please amend your document accordingly.
Yours
Peter Gafney (vice chairman Farndon Parish Council)
 was not the care.


Date: $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2012

SENT BY E-MAIL
dlaoffice@dlatownplanning.com
DLA Town Planning Ltd.
5 The Gavel Centre
Porters Wood
St Albans
AL3 6PQ

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref: ADM/Pub/34
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/13
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

FAO Mr Graeme Free

Dear Sirs

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above made on behalf of Kenilworth Estates Ltd. under reference ADM/Pub/134 but have to tell you that it cannot be considered duly made for the following reason

As you correctly state, Newark Area Policy 2A, along with the other strategic allocations, is contained within our adopted Core Strategy which is not subject to this representation period. This stage of representation has dealt with the remainder of site allocations and development management policies and does not present and opportunity to re-visit the Core Strategy.

Yours Faithfully

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


5 The Gavel Centre, Porters Wood, St Albans, Herts, AL3 6PQ
Tel: 01727850907 Fax: 01727850918
dlaoffice@dlatownplanning.com www.dlatownplanning.com

By E-mail - planning@nsdc.info
Planning Policy Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council
Kelham Hall
Kelham
Newark NG23 5QX
FAO: MR RICHARD EXTON
$13^{\text {th }}$ August 2012
My Ref: 02/209A/067/GF
LPA Ref: PADM/NDM/13

Dear Sir,

## RE: NEWARK AND SHERWOOD DISTRICT COUNCIL ALLOCATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT DPD CONSULTATION, LAND OFF BOWBRIDGE LANE, NEWARK

Thank you for your letter of the $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2012 regarding the recent representation made on behalf of Kenilworth Estates Ltd. The representation relates to the zoning of the above site within Newark Area Policy (NAP) 2A on the proposals map, which formed part of the Site Allocations consultation document on the Council's consultation portal. I made reference to the Core Strategy document to provide some context and background to the representation made. Therefore, I consider this representation to be valid, as it directly relates to the information contained in the consultation document, and would ask that it be considered by the Council as duly made.

Also, I would ask that a note be added to the submitted representation noting that the site is also suitable for commercial purposes.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any queries.

## Yours sincerely

## Graeme Free

c.c Mr P McDonagh

Principal:
Principal Associate:
Senior Associates:

David Lene: BSc (Hons), Dip, TP, Dip TP, MRTPI Miko Lako: MSc, Dip, TP, MRTPI Fowlend Silito: BA (Hons), Dip TP, MRTP Helen Hunter: BSc (Hons), BTP, MRTPI Sasha Gosine: BA (Hons), Dip TP, MRTPI

Date: $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2012

SENT BY E-MAIL
dlaoffice@dlatownplanning.com
DLA Town Planning Ltd.
5 The Gavel Centre
Porters Wood
St Albans
AL3 6PQ

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref: ADM/Pub/34
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/13
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

FAO Mr Graeme Free

Dear Sirs

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \& Development Management Development Plan Document.

I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above made on behalf of Kenilworth Estates Ltd. under reference ADM/Pub/134 but have to tell you that it cannot be considered duly made for the following reason

As you correctly state, Newark Area Policy 2A, along with the other strategic allocations, is contained within our adopted Core Strategy which is not subject to this representation period. This stage of representation has dealt with the remainder of site allocations and development management policies and does not present and opportunity to re-visit the Core Strategy.

Yours Faithfully

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council


## Comment

| Agent | Mr Graeme Free (168772) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Email Address | dlaoffice@dlatownplanning.com |
| Company / Organisation | DLA Town Planning Ltd |
| Address | 5 The Gavel Centre |
|  | Porters Wood |
|  | St Albans |
|  | AL3 6PQ |
| Consultee | Mr Pat McDonagh (372120) |
| Company / Organisation | Kenilworth Estates Ltd |
| Address | Suite 11 |
|  | Berkeley House |
|  | London Colney |
| Event Name | Publication Allocations \& Development Management |
|  | DPD |
| Comment by | Kenilworth Estates Ltd (Mr Pat McDonagh) |
| Comment ID | ADM/Pub/34 |
| Response Date | $18 / 07 / 12$ 09:15 |
| Consultation Point | Map 2 Newark South Proposals (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

Please read these Guidance Notes Prior to making a Representation.
Click next at the bottom of the page to move onto the Representation Form. Please however note that the Question numbers on the Form start at 3 in order to mirror the paper version.

## Introduction

These guidance notes have been produced to assist anyone who wishes to make a formal representation on the Allocations \& Development Management Publication DPD.

The Allocations \& Development Management Publication DPD is subject to a period of representation from Monday 18th June 2012 until no later than 5.15pm on Monday 30th July 2012. Representations received will be submitted to the Secretary of State together with the Publication DPD. The Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State will carry out a public examination before the Draft Allocations \& Development Management DPD is adopted.

The inspector will assess whether the publication DPD has been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, to legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound. Representations submitted should relate to the requirements of the Duty to Cooperate, legal compliance or the ?Tests of Soundness'.

1) If you are seeking to make representations on the way in which Newark \& Sherwood District Council has prepared the Publication DPD, it is likely your comments or objections will relate to a matter of legal compliance.
2) If it is the actual content on which you wish to comment or object to, it is likely it will relate to whether the Publication DPD is justified, effective or consistent with national policy, i.e. sound.

Please note that all respondents must complete their personal details as it is not possible for representations to be considered anonymously. Respondents should also note that representations are not confidential and that they will be published on the Newark \& Sherwood web-site and copies will be placed at appropriate venues across the District for public inspection.

## Legal Compliance

## Legal compliance

The Inspector will first check that the DPD meets the legal requirements under section 20(5)(a) of the 2004 Act before moving on to test for soundness.
You should consider the following before making a representation on legal compliance:
The Allocations \& Development Management DPD should be within the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) and the key stages should have been followed. The LDS is effectively a programme of work prepared by the Council, setting out the documents it proposes to produce over a set period. It will set out the key stages in the production of any documents the Council propose to bring forward for independent examination. If the Allocations and Development Management DPD is not in the current LDS it should not have been published for representations. The LDS should be on the Council's website and available at their main offices.

The process of community involvement for the DPD should be in general accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). The Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) is a document which sets out the Council's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of Local Development Documents for the Local Development Framework (LDF) and the consideration of planning applications.
The document should comply with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). On publication, the Council must publish the documents prescribed in the regulations, and make them available at their principal offices and on their website. The Council must also place local advertisements and notify the DPD bodies (as set out in the regulations) and any persons who have requested to be notified.
The Council is required to publish a Sustainability Appraisal Report when they publish a DPD. This should identify the process by which the Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out, and the baseline information used to inform the process and the outcomes of that process. Sustainability Appraisal is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social, environmental, and economic factors.
The Allocations \& Development Management DPD should have regard to national policy and conform generally to the Regional Plan. Regional Strategies remain part of the development plan until they are abolished by order using powers taken in Localism Act. It is the governments clear policy intention to revoke the regional strategies outside of London, subject to the outcome of that are currently being undertaken.
The DPD must have regard to any Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) for its area (i.e. Newark \& Sherwood's Community Plan and the Nottinghamshire Sustainable Community Strategy).

## Duty to Cooperate

## Duty to Cooperate

The Localism Act and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) place a duty on local planning authorities and other bodies to cooperate with each other to address strategic issues relevant to their areas. The duty requires ongoing constructive and active engagement on the preparation of development plan documents and other activities relating to the sustainable development and use of land, in particular in
connection with strategic infrastructure. The way in which we have addressed this requirement is set out in the Councils Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Cooperate, available to view on our webiste

## Soundness

## Soundness

A local planning authority should submit a plan for examination which it considers is ?sound'- namely that it is;

- Positively prepared - the plan should be prepared based on strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.
- Justified. The plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against reasonable alternatives. These alternatives should be realistic and subject to sustainability appraisal. The DPD should show how the policies and proposals help to ensure that the social, environmental, economic and resource use objectives of sustainability will be achieved.
The plan should be founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
- Evidence of participation of the local community and others having an interest in the area.
- Research/fact finding the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts.

Effective. The plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities, such as:

- Sound infrastructure delivery planning.
- Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery.
- Delivery partners who are signed up to it.
- Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities.

The DPD should also be flexible and able to be monitored. The DPD should indicate who is to be responsible for making sure that the policies and proposals happen and when they will happen. The plan should be flexible to deal with changing circumstances, which may involve minor changes to respond to the outcome of the monitoring process or more significant changes to respond to problems such as lack of funding for major infrastructure proposals. Although it is important that policies are flexible, the DPD should make clear that major changes may require a formal review including public consultation.
Any measures which the LPA has included to make sure that targets are met should be clearly linked to an Annual Monitoring Report. This report is produced each year by the local authority and will show whether the DPD needs amendment.
Consistent with National Policy - the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the framework The DPD should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, LPAs must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach. Conversely, you may feel the LPA should include a policy or policies which would depart from national or regional policy to some degree in order to meet a clearly identified and fully justified local need, but they have not done so. In this instance it will be important for you to say in your representations what the local circumstances are that justify a different policy approach to that in national or regional policy and support your assertion with evidence. If you think the content of a DPD is not sound because it does not include a policy where it should do, you should go through the following steps before making representations: Is the issue with which you are concerned already covered specifically by any national planning policy or in the Regional Spatial Strategy? If so it does not need to be included.
Is what you are concerned with covered by any other policies in the DPD on which you are seeking to make representations or in any other DPD in the LPA's Local Development Framework (LDF). There is no need for repetition between documents in the LDF.
If the policy is not covered elsewhere, in what way is the DPD unsound without the policy?
If the DPD is unsound without the policy, what should the policy say?

## General Advice

## General Advice

Representations are only valid if your name and address are supplied. Agents should please state the full name or organisation of who they are representing

Please clarify which Allocation, Policy or paragraph, you are commenting on.
Any objection to the DPD being unsound, needs to be backed up with reasons why and indicate what needs to be done to make it sound. Please use a separate response form for each element of the document that you wish to comment on and for each test of soundness or comment that you wish to make. Further response forms can be obtained from the Council's website.

Representations can only relate to the Publication Allocations \& Development Management DPD.

## Future Notification

## Request for Future Notification

Representations may be accompanied by a request to be notified at a specific address of any of the following: That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for independent examination.
The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the DPD

The adoption of the Allocations \& Development Management DPD.

## Area of Representation

3. To which part of the DPD does this

Map 2 Newark South Proposals
Representation relate? (Please state the relevant
Paragraph, Policy or part of the Proposals Map)

## Duty to Cooperate

The considerations in relation to the Duty to Cooperate are explained in the Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182

4a. Do you consider the DPD has been produced Yes according to the Duty to Cooperate?

Legal Compliance
The considerations in relation to Legal Compliance are explained in the Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.

4b. Do you consider that the DPD is Legally Yes
Compliant?

## Soundness

The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.

4c. Do you consider that the DPD is 'Sound'? (If Yes you enter no please continue to Question 5 , in all other circumstances please go to Question 6).

Tests of Soundness
5. Do you consider the DPD to be unsound
because it is not

## Representation Statement

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination
6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD to have not met the various requirements set out at in $4(a-c)$ above i.e. Duty to Cooperate, Legally Compliant or Sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the same requirements, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Land to the rear of Lowfield Cottages is identified on the Newark South Proposals Map as falling within the Newark Area Policy (NAP) 2A site. However, the land use diagram for this area contained within the adopted Core Strategy shows the site to be allocated for green infrastructure. This site is a former scrap yard with an extant outline planning permission for the erection of an industrial unit and is therefore a brownfield site. The development of brownfield sites should be considered before the development of greenfield sites, such that the proposed zoning of this site for green infrastructure is not conducive to sustainable development. The proximity of the site to the proposed southern relief road would provide good access to the wider road network and subject to the necessary remediation work being carried out, the site would be better suited to a residential or mixed use development to include a district centre. The proposed zoning for green infrastructure would also devalue the site.

## Proposed Changes

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.
7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The land to the rear of Lowfield Cottages should not be zoned for green infrastructure for the reasons set out at question 6 above.

Examination Participation

If your Representation is seeking a change, do part of the Examination?

## Future Notification

Please indicate if you wish to be notified:

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for indpendent Examination
That the recommendations of the person appointed to carry out the independent Examination of the DPD have been published
That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been adopted

## PABM/NDM/1/ <br> TRENT VALLEY INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD

A Statutory Authority concerned with Land Drainage, Flood Defence and Conservation info@tvidb.co.uk

Mr A. McGill, M.A., F.C.M.I.
Clerk and Chief Executive
Mr D.J. Sisson, B. Eng., C. Eng., MCIWEM
Engineer

WELLINGTON HOUSE
MANBY PARK MANBY LOUTH LINCOLNSHIRE LN11 BU

Telephone: 01507328095
Facsimile: 01507328097
E-mail: planning@tvidb.co.uk

Your reference:
Please quote: NAIDB11024 AD/LSQ
Please ask for: $\quad$ Mr. Andrew Dale 区 andrew.dale@lmdb.co.uk
$25^{\text {th }}$ July 2012.
Planning Policy,
Newark and Sherwood District Council,
Kelham Hall,
Newark,
Nottinghamshire,
NG23 5QX.
Dear Sirs,
Publication Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD) - Representation Period

I refer to your letter dated the $15^{\text {th }}$ June 2012 regarding the above and write to inform you that the Board has no further comments to make following our letters dated the $21^{\text {st }}$ November 2011 and $30^{\text {th }}$ April 2012.

Yours faithfully,


David Sisson,
Engineer to the Board.


Mr Matthew Norton
Planning Policy business unit
Kelham Hall
Newark
Nottinghamshire
NG23 5QX

12th July 2012
Subject: Consultation Document
Reference: 1-11170321

Dear Mr Norton,
Thank you for your consultation document.
We are sure you will appreciate that the Equality and Human Rights Commission (the Commission) receives many such documents. Unfortunately we do not have the resources to respond to consultations unless they concern matters which are directly related to the work of the Commission.

The Helpline have forwarded this information onto the relevant Department. Should it be appropriate someone will be in touch with you in due course.

Yours sincerely,


Roy Colon
Helpline Advisor
Equality and Human Rights Commission

# Equality and Human Rights Commission Helpline England <br> Equality and Freepost RRLL-GHUX-CTRX <br> Tel: 08456046610 <br> Human Rights Arndale House, The Arndale Centre Textphone: 08456046620 <br> Commission Manchester M4 3AQ Fax: 08456046630 <br> The Equality and Human Rights Commission was established by the Equality Act 2006 as the Commission for Equality and Human Rights. 

## Appendix C

Incomplete representations.

Date: $8^{\text {th }}$ August 2012

Mr Harold Sale
8 Belle Voe Lane
Blidworth
NG21 ORD

Telephone: (01636) 650000
Fax: (01636) 655899
E-mail: planning@nsdc.info
Contact: Richard Exton
Your Ref:
Our Ref: PADM/NDM/6
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk
Planning Policy Business Unit
Kelham Hall, Kelham
Newark Nottinghamshire NG23 5QX

Dear Mr Sale

## Newark and Sherwood Local Development Framework. Publication Allocations \&

Development Management Development Plan Document.
I am in receipt of your representation regarding the above but unfortunately cannot register it as you have not specified the area or issues you wish to comment on.

If you would like to telephone me on the above number I can complete the form on your behalf.

Yours Sincerely

Richard Exton
Senior Planner Policy
Growth Development Business Unit
Newark and Sherwood District Council



NEWARK \&
SHERWOOD DISTRICT COUNCIL

Development Plan Document (DPD)

A guidance note has been produced to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have beeniprovided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Kelham Hall, Libraries in the District andCES www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/adm

Please return to Newark \& Sherwood District Council by 5:15pm on Monday 30th July 2012
This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your Representation(s) (Please fill in a separate sheet for each Representation).

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

1. Personal Details
2. Agents Details
*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2 .


## PART B- Representation(s)

## Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representation relate?

| Paragraph: | Policy: | Proposals Map: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

4. Do you consider the DPD is/has been produced according to:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (1) Duty to Cooperate* } & \text { Yes } \square & \text { No } \square \\ \text { (2) Legally Compliant* } & \text { Yes } \square & \text { No } \square \\ \text { (3) Sound* } & \text { Yes } \square & \text { No } \square\end{array}$

If you have entered No to $4(3)$, please continue to Q 5 . In all other circumstances, please go to Q 6 .
*The considerations in relation to the Duty to Cooperate, Legal Compliance and the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the Newark \& Sherwood Development Plan Document Representation Guidance Notes and in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 182.
5. Do you consider the DPD to be unsound because it is not:
(1) Positively Prepared
(2) Justified
(3) Effective
(4) Consistent with national policy
6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD to have not met the various requirements set out at in 4 above i.e. Duty to Cooperate, Legally Compliant or Sound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the same requirements, please also use this box to set out your comments.
7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.


Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.
8. If your Representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral Yes, I wish to participate at the oral Examination Examination
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.
10. Please indicate if you wish to be notified:

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been submitted for independent Examination

That the recommendations of the person appointed to carry out the independent Examination of the DPD have been published

That the Allocations \& Development Management DPD has been adopted


Please return this form by $5: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ on Monday $30^{\text {th }}$ July 2012 to one of the addresses below:

## Email: planningpolicy@nsdc.info

Post: Planning Policy
Planning Services
Newark \&: Sherwood Districict Council
Kelham Hall
Newark
Dots, NG23 5QX
Alternatively you can make your Representation by using the Council's Consultation Portal. Information is available at:
www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/adm

## Office Use Only

Date of Receipt:
Representation No:

